

# Homework 2 – Signatures & Symmetric Cryptography

# Cryptography and Security 2024

- You are free to use any programming language you want, although Python/SAGE is recommended.
- ♦ Put all your answers and only your answers in the provided [id]-answers.txt file where [id] is the student ID.¹ This means you need to provide us with all Q-values specified in the questions below. Personal files are to be found on Moodle under the feedback section of Parameters HW2.
- ♦ Please do not put any comment or strange character or any new line in the submission file and do NOT rename the provided files.
- ♦ Do NOT modify the SCIPER, id and seed headers in the [id]-answers.txt file.
- Submissions that do not respect the expected format may lose points.
- ⋄ We also ask you to submit your source code. This file can of course be of any readable format and we encourage you to comment your code. Notebook files are allowed, but we prefer if you export your code as normal textual files containing Python/SAGE code. If an answer is incorrect, we may grant partial marks depending on the implementation.
- ⋄ Be careful to always cite external code that was used in your implementation if the latter is not part of the public domain and include the corresponding license if needed. Submissions that do not meet this guideline may be flagged as plagiarism or cheating.
- ♦ Some plaintexts may contain random words. Do not be offended by them and search them online at your own risk. Note that they might be really strange.
- Please list the name of the **other** person you worked with (if any) in the designated area of the answers file.
- Corrections and revisions may be announced on Moodle in the "News" forum. By default, everybody is subscribed to it and does receive an email as well. If you decided to ignore Moodle emails, we recommend that you check the forum regularly.
- ♦ The homework is due on Moodle on **December 15th**, **2024** at 23h59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Depending on the nature of the exercise, an example of parameters and answers will be provided on Moodle.

# Exercise 1 Unconventional Symmetric Cryptography

A junior crypto apprentice attempts to come up with several new cryptography schemes. In this exercise, we will try to break them.

#### Question 1.1 RSA-OFB

After seeing several modes of operations for block ciphers in class. The crypto apprentice thinks: "What if we use RSA instead of a block cipher in these modes of operations. We can assume that the secret key is composed of both pk and sk of RSA to allow both encryption and decrption. If we use RSA-2048, we can even support a larger block size compare to 128 bits in AES!". Then the apprentice decides to use RSA in OFB mode.

Given an RSA public key (e, N) as Q1a\_pk, a Python list Q1a\_y of size n+1 with an IV as the first element followed by n encrypted blocks, report the list of n decrypted blocks under Q1a\_x. You can verify your solution by using the Q1a\_xhash value and verify\_Q1a function under utils.sage.

### Question 1.2 Auction using AES

The crypto apprentice is tasked to create a secure auction mechanism, where the bidders are supposed to send their bids over an insecure channel without being able to observe other people's bids. During this whole process we assume that we have a trusted auctioneer. Here is the flow of the idea:

• Encrypted Bidding: Each participant will agree on a seperate AES-256 key with the auctioneer. This way, the auctioneer will be able to decrypt all the bids and decide on the winner. Each participant encrypts their bid with AES-256 in CBC mode. Figure 1 shows the full details.

Figure 1: Bid Encryption protocol. **Example:** If a bidder encrypts the bid 12345, the resulting message m is "Dear Auctioneer:My bid is \$12345". For convenience, AES256CBC\_encrypt(k, m) and AES256CBC\_decrypt(k, m) functions are provided in utils.sage

You realized that you can listen on the network and alter the ciphertexts being sent to the auctioneer. You also had an insider information about how much one of your main competitors is going to bid. To guarantee your winning at a cheaper price, you would like to set the bids of your main competitor to the minimum bid which is \$10000. Given your competitor's message Q1b\_m, a corresponding ciphertext encrypted with AES256CBC as Q1b\_c construct

a ciphertext Q1b\_cnew such that the result of Auctioneer.DecryptBid(k, Q1b\_cnew) is 10000. Note that both ciphertexts are Python byte strings with the first 16 bytes corresponds to the IV for the CBC mode.

## Question 1.3 Auction using AES with commitments

After realizing the problem with the previous attempt. The crypto apprentice comes up with a new scheme, including commitments to bids with the following flow:

- 1. Commitment Phase: Each party commits to their bids.
- 2. **Encrypted Bidding Phase**: Each party encrypts their bids using the same approach before.
- 3. Bid Opening Phase: Each party opens their committed bids.

Again, during this whole process we assume that we have a trusted auctioneer. The idea is that if the commitments are binding, even if we alter the ciphertext in the encrypted bidding phase, the auctioner will catch that the resulting bid after decryption will be inconsistent with the opened commitment. Hence, the scheme should be more secure.

The commitment scheme being used is defined in Figure 2. In summary, given an elliptic curve  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  with a subgroup of prime order q with generators G and H. With the public parameters (p, q, G, H) we can commit to a message m by sampling a random opening value r from  $\mathbb{Z}_q$  and compute  $bid \cdot G + r \cdot H$  as our commitment. Note that in order to make the public parameters smaller and save some bandwith, the auctioneer publishes k instead of H (since the scalar k has smaller representation than a point on the elliptic curve) as part of the public parameters (since given G and P, H can be inferred from R).

$Setup(1^\lambda)$		Commit(pp,bid)	
1:	$G, p, q, a, b \leftarrow GroupGen(1^{\lambda})$	1:	$\mathtt{parse}\ pp \to (p,q,G,k,a,b)$
2:	$k \leftarrow \!\! \$  \mathbf{Z}_q$	2:	$r \leftarrow \!\!\! \mathbf{s}  \mathbf{Z}_q$
3:	$H \leftarrow k \cdot G$	3:	$H \leftarrow k \cdot G$
4:	$\mathbf{return}\ (p,q,G,k,a,b)$	4:	$com \leftarrow bid \cdot G + r \cdot H$
		5:	return com
		Verify(pp,com,bid,r)	
		1:	parse $pp \rightarrow (p, q, G, k, a, b)$
		2:	$H \leftarrow k \cdot G$
		3:	$\textbf{return com} \stackrel{?}{=} bid \cdot G + r \cdot H$

Figure 2: Commitment Protocol. GroupGen(1 $^{\lambda}$ ) generates an elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  (with p of size  $\lambda$  bits) with subgroup of prime order q defined by the equation  $y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$  with generator G.

Given public parameters (p, q, G, k, a, b) as Q1c\_pp, a commitment Q1c\_com and its opening value Q1c\_r for Q1c\_bid. Construct a new opening value for Q1c\_bidnew such that when the commitment is computed, it is equal to Q1c\_com. Report this new opening value under

 ${\sf Q1c\_rnew}.$  Curve points such as G and  ${\sf Q1c\_com}$  are represented as a python tuple of (x,y) coordinates.

# Exercise 2 Q2: An insecure signature scheme based on quadratic residues

In this exercise, we construct an RSA-like signature scheme that is *non-trivially insecure*. We define the following signature scheme:

```
KeyGen:
                                                     Sign:
1: Sample primes p, q such that p \approx q.
                                                      1: Input: sk, m
                                                      2: Find a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_N such that a^2 + kb^2 \equiv m
2: Compute N = p \cdot q
3: Sample k \in \mathbb{Z}_N
                                                          \pmod{N}
4: pk = (k, N)
                                                      3: \sigma = (a, b)
5: sk = (k, p, q)
                                                      4: return \sigma
6: return (sk, pk)
                                                     Verify:
                                                      1: Input: pk, m, \sigma = (a, b)
                                                      2: return a^2 + kb^2 \stackrel{?}{\equiv} m \pmod{N}
```

Figure 3: Our RSA-like signature scheme

## Question 2.1 Q2a: Implementing the Signature Scheme

Implement the signature scheme. Specifically, given inputs Q2a\_p, Q2a\_N, Q2a\_k, Q2a\_m, compute and return Q2a\_a, Q2a\_b non zeros that form a valid signature for Q2a\_m.

## Question 2.2 Q2b: A Reduction Mechanism

We now attempt to break the scheme's security by forging signatures. From now on, we fix Q2\_N.

Consider the following observations:

**Lemma 1.** For any  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$  in any ring, the following identity holds:

$$\left(a_1^2 + kb_1^2\right)\left(a_2^2 + kb_2^2\right) = (a_1a_2 \pm kb_1b_2)^2 + k(a_1b_2 \mp a_2b_1)^2$$

**Lemma 2.** If gcd(b, N) = 1, then:

$$a^2 + kb^2 \equiv m \pmod{N} \iff a'^2 - mb'^2 \equiv -k \pmod{N}$$

where the transformation is defined as a' = a/b and b' = 1/b.

#### Reduction

Let  $q_0$  be a prime such that  $-k \in \mathsf{QR}_{q_0}$ . Construct an algorithm that returns a, b such that:

$$a^2 + kb^2 \equiv q_0 t^{-1} \pmod{N}$$

where |t| or  $|N-t| < \frac{3}{2}\sqrt{k}$ .

Given a list  $Q2b_q[j]$  and  $Q2b_k[j]$ , compute and return  $Q2b_a[j]$ ,  $Q2b_b[j]$ ,  $Q2b_t[j]$  all non zeros and solutions of the equation

$$\mathtt{Q2b\_a[j]}^2 + \mathtt{Q2b\_k[j]} \cdot \mathtt{Q2b\_b[j]}^2 = \mathtt{Q2b\_q[j]} \cdot \mathtt{Q2b\_t[j]}^{-1} \mod \mathtt{Q2\_N}$$

for all j.

*Hint*: Consider the recursive sequence:

$$q_i$$
 such that  $q_iq_{i-1} = x_{i-1}^2 + k$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ . 
$$x_i = \min (x_{i-1} \mod q_i, q_i - (x_{i-1} \mod q_i)) \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}.$$

## Question 2.3 Q2c: Fully Efficient Attack

Using the reduction mechanism from Q2, find an efficient algorithm to forge a signature. Given Q2c\_k, Q2c\_m, compute Q2c\_a, Q2c\_b non zeros such that they form a valid signature of Q2c\_m. *Hints*:

- 1. Consider finding u, v such that  $(u^2 + kv^2)m = q_0 \mod N$  for some  $q_0$  as in Q2.
- 2. Think of the Euclid algorithm structure.

# Exercise 3 Linear Key Signing

Let  $\mathbb{G}$  be a group defined over a prime p with a prime order subgroup of order q. Consdier the following signature scheme defined over  $\mathbb{G}$ , note that the hash function H being used is given as a black-box under utils.sage:

- KeyGen(1 $^{\lambda}$ ): On security parameter 1 $^{\lambda}$ , generate the secret key sk and the public key pk.
  - 1. Randomly sample  $x \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_q$  and compute  $X \leftarrow g^x \mod p$ .
  - 2. Output (sk = x, pk = X).
- Sign(sk, m): Sign a message m into a signature  $\sigma$  with the secret key sk.
  - 1. Randomly sample  $t \leftarrow \mathbf{z}_q$  and compute  $r \leftarrow g^t \mod p$ .
  - 2. Compute  $h \leftarrow H(m||r)$ .
  - 3. Compute  $s \leftarrow (\mathsf{sk} \cdot h + t) \mod q$ .
  - 4. Output  $\sigma = (h, s)$ .
- Verify( $pk, m, \sigma$ ): Verify that  $\sigma$  is indeed a valid signature of message m.
  - 1. Parse  $(h, s) \leftarrow \sigma$ .
  - 2. Compute  $r' \leftarrow g^s \cdot \mathsf{pk}^{-h} \mod p$ .
  - 3. Compute  $h' \leftarrow H(m||r')$ .
  - 4. Output  $h \stackrel{?}{=} h'$ .

## Question 3.1

Implement the signing procedure for this scheme. You are given public parameters (p, q, g) as Q3a\_pp, a message Q3a\_m, a random value to be used in signing Q3a\_t and a secret key Q3a\_sk. Report the resulting signature under Q3a\_sig.

**Note:** Make sure that the signature is correct by implementing verification as well.

### Question 3.2

Let f be an affine function defined over  $Z_q$ . It is specified by two values  $\alpha, \beta \in Z_q$  such that  $f(x) = \alpha \cdot x + \beta$ .

Given public parameters Q3b\_pp, a valid signature (h,s) as Q3b\_sig signed under an unknown key sk for message Q3b\_m and a given public key Q3b\_pk. Construct a valid signature Q3b\_signew for the same message that is valid under a new secret key f(sk). Specify this function under Q3b\_f as a python tuple  $(\alpha,\beta)$  such that  $\alpha,\beta\in Z_q^*$  (i.e.  $q>\alpha>0$  and  $q>\beta>0$ ).